

## Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 STATE 061776  
ORIGIN ARA-14

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 /015 R

66011

DRAFTED BY: ARA/CAR: FTUMMINIA: KC

APPROVED BY: ARA/CAR: FTUMMINIA

-----053311 101529Z /43

R 100728Z MAR 78

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO AMEMBASSY BRIDGETOWN

C O N F I D E N T I A L STATE 061776

FOLLOWING REPEAT NASSAU 0484 SENT ACTION SECSTATE MAR 07.  
QUOTE C O N F I D E N T I A L NASSAU 0484

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: MARR MILI US BF

SUBJ: FACILITIES: ADDERLEY'S LETTER OF MARCH 6, 1978

REF: NASSAU 0469

1. FOLLOWING ARE EMBASSY'S COMMENTS ON LETTER:

PARA 2: EMBASSY AND DEPT HAVE REITERATED TO GCOB  
AND SPECIFICALLY TO ADDERLEY OUR INABILITY TO PRE-DATE  
ANY FACILITIES AGREEMENT WHICH MIGHT BE REACHED TO  
JULY 1973. FOR HIM TO SAY THAT "...IT WAS THE UNEQUIVOCAL  
PROPOSAL" OF THE USG "...FROM 1973 TO 1977 THAT ANY  
AGREEMENT ENTERED INTO BETWEEN OUR RESPECTIVE GOVERN-  
MENTS WOULD BE FOR A PERIOD OF FIFTEEN YEARS EXPIRING  
ON JULY 10, 1988" IS AT WORST A SHEER FABRICATION OR  
AT THE LEAST A PRIME EXAMPLE OF WISHFUL THINKING. WE  
URGE THE DEPT TO RESPOND TO THE ASSERTION WITH AN  
"UNEQUIVOCAL" REJECTION, STATING IN DETAIL WHAT OUR  
POSITION IS (AND ALWAYS HAS BEEN) AND REITERATING OUR  
INABILITY TO ENTERTAIN ANY PROPOSALS FOR RETROACTIVE  
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 STATE 061776

PAYMENT.

PARA 3: IT IS TRUE THAT VARIOUS GCOB OFFICIALS,  
INCLUDING ADDERLEY, HAVE EXPRESSED VAGUE DISSATISFACTION  
WITH OUR OFFER MADE IN DEC 1975 AND HAVE ADVANCED EQUALLY  
VAGUE PROPOSALS CONCERNING THE DESIRABILITY OF "ECONOMIC  
ASSISTANCE" -- IN ADDITION TO RENTAL PAYMENTS -- TO  
ARRIVE AT AN ACCEPTABLE QUID. WHAT IS NOT TRUE IS THAT

THE GCOB HAS RESPONDED WITH ANY DEGREE OF SPECIFICITY. IN OUR RESPONSE WE SHOULD EMPHASIZE THAT IN ORDER TO MOVE FORWARD WITH THE NEGOTIATIONS WE WILL NEED TO HAVE A BETTER UNDERSTANDING OF THEIR VIEWS ON OUR 1975 OFFER AND THAT ONLY THEN CAN A MEANINGFUL DIALOGUE BE ESTABLISHED. WITHOUT IT, WE WILL CONTINUE TO SKIRT THE MAIN ISSUES WHICH MAY OR MAY NOT BE DIVIDING US AND WE VERY MUCH HOPE THAT THEY CAN PROVIDE US A MORE COMPREHENSIVE AND DETAILED RESPONSE.

PARA 4: WE SHOULD POINT OUT THAT WHILE IT WAS USEFUL TO COMMENCE AN EXCHANGE OF VIEWS ON THE SPECIFICS OF OPERATING RIGHTS, STATUS OF FORCES, FACILITIES AND LEASE AGREEMENTS" WE ARE STILL AWAITING A CLEARER INDICATION OF THEIR VIEWS ON THE MORE SUBSTANTIVE MATTERS RAISED IN PARA 3.

PARA 6, 7, AND 8: IT IS APPARENT THAT ADDERLEY'S COMMENTS "THAT FURTHER DISCUSSIONS TAKE PLACE ON A BILATERAL BASIS BETWEEN THE U.S. AMBASSADOR AND THE MINISTER OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS" DERIVE FROM A CONVERSATION AND POSSIBLY AN AIDE MEMOIRE DELIVERED AT A MEETING BETWEEN HIM AND THEN AMB. SPIERS HELD ON SEPT 14, 1973. NASSAU'S 1314 OF THAT DATE STATES THAT AMBASSADOR SPIERS "SAID THAT THE US PREFERRED DISCUSSIONS THIS SUBJECT (I.E. BASE TALKS) TAKE PLACE ON BILATERAL BASIS BETWEEN AMBASSADOR AND MINEXTAFF. AMB. INDICATED IT WAS REASONABLE TO EXPECT THAT PARTICIPATION OF EXPERTS

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 STATE 061776

MIGHT BE NEEDED AT SOME POINTS, BUT THAT SUCH EXPERT DISCUSSIONS WOULD TAKE PLACE WITHIN UMBRELLA FRAMEWORK OF AMB-MINEXTAFF, WITH ISSUES REFERRED TO THIS LEVEL FOR DISCUSSIONS AND RESOLUTION AS NECESSARY." DEP ASST SEC SHELTON IN HER MEETING WITH ADDERLEY MARCH 6 HAS ALREADY EXPLAINED IN SOME LENGTH RATIONAL FOR ALTERED PROCEDURES OF CONDUCTING NEGOTIATIONS SUCH AS THESE. IT WOULD NEVERTHELESS BE USEFUL TO REPEAT REASONS IN OUR RESPONSE, POINTING OUT THAT ALMOST FOUR AND ONE-HALF YEARS HAVE ELAPSED SINCE THE MODUS OPERANDI REFERRED TO BY ADDERLEY WAS AGREED UPON. IN ADDITION WE SHOULD EXPLICITLY MAKE IT CLEAR THAT WHILE THE AMB IS READY AND WILLING TO REVIEW THESE OR ANY OTHER MATTERS, THE MINISTER MIGHT WISH TO RAISE, HE IS NEVERTHELESS NOT EMPOWERED SPECIFICALLY TO NEGOTIATE A FACILITIES AGREEMENT WITH GCOB.

2. EMBASSY STRONGLY FEELS THAT LETTER DESERVES AND IN FACT DEMANDS A PROMPT, POINT BY POINT RESPONSE, WHICH SHOULD BE CANDID WITHOUT APPEARING CONTENTIOUS. IN OUR VIEW THE FAILURE TO RESPOND WOULD FURTHER COMPLICATE OUR DISCUSSIONS WITH ADDERLEY AND HE COULD AND QUITE

CONCEIVABLY WOULD USE OUR SILENCE AS A TACIT AGREEMENT  
TO OUR DISADVANTAGE.  
SCHWARTZ UNQUOTE VANCE

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01 jan 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** GOVERNMENT REACTIONS, DIPLOMATIC COMMUNICATIONS, MILITARY BASE AGREEMENTS, NEGOTIATIONS  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 10 mar 1978  
**Decaption Date:** 01 jan 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 20 Mar 2014  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1978STATE061776  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** ARA/CAR:FTUMMINIA:KC  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** GS  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Expiration:**  
**Film Number:** D780108-0377  
**Format:** TEL  
**From:** STATE  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1978/newtext/t19780381/aaaacqz.tel  
**Line Count:** 119  
**Litigation Code IDs:**  
**Litigation Codes:**  
**Litigation History:**  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM  
**Message ID:** 0b97acc9-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc  
**Office:** ORIGIN ARA  
**Original Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 3  
**Previous Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Previous Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** 78 NASSAU 469  
**Retention:** 0  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 23 jun 2005  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**SAS ID:** 3370354  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** FACILITIES: ADDERLEY'S LETTER OF MARCH 6, 1978  
**TAGS:** MARR, MILI, PDIP, US, BF, (ADDERLEY, PAUL L)  
**To:** BRIDGETOWN  
**Type:** TE  
**vdkgvwkey:** odbc://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS\_Docs/0b97acc9-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc  
**Review Markings:**  
Sheryl P. Walter  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
20 Mar 2014  
**Markings:** Sheryl P. Walter Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 20 Mar 2014